

Message

---

**From:** Reilly, WilliamJ [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5CB68BAA9A0943459F1B2DE41B91F02C-REILLY, WILLIAMJ]  
**Sent:** 7/17/2020 6:55:32 PM  
**To:** Thomas.Pearce@lw.com  
**Subject:** FW: EPA response regarding changes in FS

Thomas– FYI I was just copied on this email to Ken and Scott shortly after our conversation.

As discussed, I am around next week and have availability if you would like to discuss further. Have a good weekend!

Regards,

Will

---

**From:** Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 17, 2020 2:44 PM  
**To:** Krall, Scott M <skrall@ppg.com>; Ken Bird <kbird@woodardcurran.com>  
**Cc:** Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>; Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** EPA response regarding changes in FS

Hi Scott and Ken,

EPA appreciates PPG reaching out to discuss the FS earlier today. Based on our conversation, PPG is proposing certain changes to the FS that are inconsistent with EPA's June 23, 2020 conditional approval of the FS, which stipulated that the FS was approved provided that EPA's markup and comments were incorporated. After the conditional approval, in the spirit of working cooperatively, EPA re-reviewed the FS, at PPG's request, and on July 10 submitted to PPG a new markup.

At this time, EPA is not accepting any additional changes to the FS that EPA sent to PPG on 7/10. Should PPG have additional concerns or disagree with EPA's edits or comments, PPG may submit those concerns as comments during the public comment period and EPA will respond to those concerns in the responsiveness summary.

Please let EPA know immediately whether PPG will incorporate all of EPA's July 10 comments into the FS. If PPG does not accept EPA's comments, EPA will consider its other options under paragraph 41 of the settlement agreement.

Thanks,

Josh

--

Josh Smeraldi, Ph.D. Env. Eng  
EPA Region 2 Superfund and Emergency Management Division  
212 637 4302